

SEEQ Profiles by Mean Student Course Evaluations

Course-Section:	CMSC 411 05
Title:	Computer Architecture
Instructor:	Simsek, Ergun

Fall 2022

Invited:	36
Responded:	13
Response Rate:	36.1 %

Questions	Frequencies*						Mean of :					
	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Instructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Section
Learning												
							3.27	4.02	4.30	4.26	4.32	3.27
1. I have found the course intellectually challenging and stimulating.	0	0	2	1	6	4	3.92	4.06	4.37	4.32	4.44	3.92
2. I have learned something which I consider valuable.	0	1	3	1	6	2	3.38	4.11	4.40	4.38	4.44	3.38
3. My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.	0	2	5	2	3	1	2.69	3.82	4.16	4.07	4.15	2.69
4. I have learned and understood the subject materials of this course.	0	2	2	4	3	2	3.08	4.09	4.27	4.26	4.26	3.08
Enthusiasm												
							3.88	4.28	4.24	4.26	4.34	3.88
5. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course.	0	0	1	1	3	8	4.38	4.58	4.45	4.47	4.56	4.38
6. The instructor was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course.	0	0	0	2	7	4	4.15	4.30	4.31	4.35	4.41	4.15
7. The instructor enhanced presentations with the use of humor.	0	1	0	4	4	4	3.77	4.26	4.20	4.18	4.32	3.77
8. The instructor's style of presentation held my interest during class.	0	1	2	5	3	2	3.23	3.97	4.01	4.02	4.08	3.23
Organization												
							3.50	4.17	4.19	4.22	4.26	3.50
9. The instructor's explanations were clear.	0	2	2	0	7	2	3.38	4.15	4.16	4.20	4.20	3.38
10. Course materials were well prepared and carefully explained.	0	2	4	0	4	3	3.15	4.22	4.17	4.24	4.26	3.15
11. Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where the course was going.	0	0	2	3	5	3	3.69	4.33	4.31	4.32	4.38	3.69
12. The instructor gave lectures that facilitated taking notes.	0	1	1	3	3	5	3.77	3.99	4.12	4.15	4.20	3.77
Group interaction												
							3.67	4.31	4.34	4.39	4.44	3.67
13. Students were encouraged to participate in class discussions.	1	1	1	3	4	3	3.58	4.25	4.29	4.39	4.43	3.58
14. Students were invited to share their ideas and knowledge.	1	0	2	4	3	3	3.58	4.23	4.34	4.39	4.44	3.58
15. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.	0	1	0	2	7	3	3.85	4.42	4.39	4.42	4.46	3.85
16. Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor.	1	1	1	2	5	3	3.67	4.32	4.35	4.36	4.42	3.67
Individual rapport												
							3.87	4.62	4.41	4.38	4.51	3.87
17. The instructor was friendly towards individual students.	0	0	0	3	6	4	4.08	4.68	4.48	4.47	4.54	4.08
18. The instructor made students feel welcome in seeking help/advice in or outside of class.	0	0	1	3	5	4	3.92	4.68	4.44	4.42	4.52	3.92
19. The instructor had a genuine interest in individual students.	0	0	0	7	3	3	3.69	4.53	4.31	4.29	4.46	3.69

*For items 1 - 29: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Neutral, 4= Agree, 5= Strongly Agree, For items 30 - 32: 1= Very Poor, 2= Poor, 3= Average, 4= Good, 5= Very Good

SEEQ Profiles by Mean Student Course Evaluations

20. The instructor was adequately accessible to students during office hours or after class.	0	0	0	6	4	3	3.77	4.58	4.40	4.35	4.51	3.77
Breadth							4.25	4.51	4.29	4.28	4.41	4.25
21. The instructor contrasted the implications of various theories.	2	0	0	3	6	2	3.91	4.38	4.23	4.22	4.36	3.91
22. The instructor presented the background or origin of ideas/concepts developed in class.	0	0	0	1	7	5	4.31	4.60	4.32	4.31	4.42	4.31
23. The instructor presented points of view other than his/her own when appropriate.	4	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.40	4.33
24. The instructor adequately discussed current developments in the field.	0	0	0	0	7	6	4.46	4.63	4.33	4.30	4.45	4.46
Examinations							3.62	4.41	4.25	4.22	4.29	3.62
25. Feedback on examinations/graded materials was valuable.	2	2	1	4	2	2	3.09	4.21	4.12	4.09	4.17	3.09
26. Methods of evaluating student work were fair and appropriate.	0	1	1	1	7	3	3.77	4.51	4.29	4.27	4.33	3.77
27. Examinations/graded materials tested course content as emphasized by the instructor.	0	1	0	2	5	5	4.00	4.52	4.33	4.31	4.37	4.00
Assignments							3.77	4.16	4.25	4.25	4.25	3.77
28. Required readings/texts were valuable.	0	1	1	3	4	4	3.69	3.96	4.15	4.20	4.16	3.69
29. Readings, homework, laboratories contributed to appreciation and understanding of subject.	0	1	1	1	6	4	3.85	4.35	4.34	4.31	4.33	3.85
Overall							3.28	4.19	4.19	4.23	4.29	3.28
30. Compared with other courses I have had at UMBC, I would say this course is:	0	1	3	5	4	0	2.92	4.00	4.10	4.13	4.18	2.92
31. Compared with other instructors I have had at UMBC, I would say this instructor is:	0	1	1	5	4	2	3.38	4.26	4.23	4.26	4.33	3.38
32. As an overall rating, I would say the instructor is:	0	1	0	5	5	2	3.54	4.30	4.25	4.30	4.37	3.54

*For items 1 - 29: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Neutral, 4= Agree, 5= Strongly Agree, For items 30 - 32: 1= Very Poor, 2= Poor, 3= Average, 4= Good, 5= Very Good