

CMPE/ENEE PhD Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation Form

Student Name: _____ **Email:** _____

<p>Reviewer 1 (Enter initials below)</p>	<p>Evaluation: Give your ranking for each item as VG/A/P (very good/acceptable/poor) along with your comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Core course GPA and course plan b. RAR c. Letters
--	---

<p>Reviewer 2 (Enter initials below)</p>	<p>Evaluation: Give your ranking for each item as VG/A/P (very good/acceptable/poor) along with your comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Core course GPA and course plan b. RAR c. Letters
--	---

Please see next page for portfolio evaluation guidelines.

Final Evaluation:

Ph.D. Portfolio Evaluation

Faculty members reviewing the portfolio (each portfolio will be reviewed by at least two faculty members in the student's area) will assess the complete portfolio based on the following three independent areas:

- a. Core-course GPA (*very good* ≥ 3.45 ; $3.45 >$ *acceptable* ≥ 3.2 ; $3.2 >$ *poor*) and plan of course-work
- b. The quality of the presented RAR
- c. Faculty-support letters

The portfolio will be evaluated using a scoring guide for each area as *very good* (3), *acceptable* (2), *poor* (1). The portfolio evaluation will result in one of the two following assessments based on a minimum threshold score of 6.

Clear Competence: A score of 6 or greater is a passing score.

Example 1: one "very good" score and two "acceptable" scores [$3 + (2 \times 2) = 7$]

Example 2: three "acceptable" scores ($3 \times 2 = 6$)

After passing the portfolio, the student may advance to candidacy.

Developing Competence: A score of 5 or lower is a failing score.

Example: One "very good" score and two "poor" scores [$3 + (2 \times 1) = 5$]

The student must resubmit the portfolio and receive a passing score before advancing to candidacy.