## **CSEE PhD Comprehensive Portfolio CMPE/ENEE** Programs

### **Cover Sheet**

Student's Name \_\_\_\_\_\_ UMBC E-mail ID \_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **UMBC Program Information**

| Program                 | CMPE        | ENEE      |  |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Portfolio Stage         | Preliminary | Final     |  |
| Submission Semester     | Semester    | Year      |  |
| Entered Program         | Semester    | Year      |  |
| Student Status          | Full-time   | Part-time |  |
| Research Area           |             |           |  |
| <b>Research Advisor</b> |             |           |  |

### **Previous Degrees**

| Degree | Major | Institution | Year |
|--------|-------|-------------|------|
|        |       |             |      |
|        |       |             |      |
|        |       |             |      |
|        |       |             |      |
|        |       |             |      |
|        |       |             |      |

### Faculty Support Letter(s) from (at least one other than advisor):

Professor (required)

Professor \_\_\_\_\_ (optional)

#### **Checklist:**

- \_\_\_\_ Core courses completed and plan of course work
- Support letters requested and received
- \_\_\_\_ Copy of transcript (unofficial) included
- Research activity report (RAR) included
- \_\_\_\_ Research reports, papers, etc. copies included
- Transfer/course equivalency requests completed

# **CSEE PhD Comprehensive Portfolio CMPE** Program

## **Faculty Support Form**

| Student Name  | Student ID                                  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Public Law 93-80, Educational Amendments Act of 1974,<br>letters of recommendation in their placement files | grants students the right to have access to |  |  |  |  |
| I wish to waive access to my letters: Yes   | No  |  |  |  |  |
| Signature   | Date  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty Name  | Date  |  |  |  |  |

### 1. Rate the student's abilities/skills via table below:

| Ability/Skill                | Excellent | Very | Good | Fair | Poor | Unable to |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
|                              |           | Good |      |      |      | Assess    |
| Breadth of CE knowledge      |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Depth in chosen topic        |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Creativity/Original thinking |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Diligence                    |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Motivation towards PhD (CE)  |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Verbal skills                |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Writing skills               |           |      |      |      |      |           |

2. Overall opinion: If this student is not currently working with you, and was to approach you seeking to work under you guidance, would you be willing to work with this student? Why or why not?

- 3. In what capacities did you have a chance to evaluate this student's PhD promise? (Please use additional pages if needed)
  - (a) Courses: Please list courses, semesters taken, and class ranks (or approximate percentile) if available.
  - (b) Up to 698/699 Level Activity: Briefly describe the activity, outcomes, and your assessment of student's research potential.

(c) Post- 698/699 Level Activity: Briefly describe the activity, outcomes, and your assessment of student's research potential.

4. Summary Recommendation (circle one): Excellent / Very Good / Good / Fair / Poor

# **CSEE PhD Comprehensive Portfolio ENEE** Program

## **Faculty Support Form**

| Student Name   | Student ID                                    |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
|  |   |  |  |  |
| Public Law 93-80, Educational Amendments Act of 1974<br>letters of recommendation in their placement files | , grants students the right to have access to |  |  |  |
| I wish to waive access to my letters: Yes  | No  |  |  |  |
| Signature  | Date  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |
| Faculty Name   | Date  |  |  |  |

### 1. Rate the student's abilities/skills via table below:

| Ability/Skill                | Excellent | Very | Good | Fair | Poor | Unable to |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
|                              |           | Good |      |      |      | Assess    |
| Breadth of EE knowledge      |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Depth in chosen topic        |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Creativity/Original thinking |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Diligence                    |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Motivation towards PhD (EE)  |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Verbal skills                |           |      |      |      |      |           |
| Writing skills               |           |      |      |      |      |           |

2. Overall opinion: If this student is not currently working with you, and was to approach you seeking to work under you guidance, would you be willing to work with this student? Why or why not?

- 3. In what capacities did you have a chance to evaluate this student's PhD promise? (Please use additional pages if needed)
  - (a) Courses: Please list courses, semesters taken, and class ranks (or approximate percentile) if available.
  - (b) Up to 698/699 Level Activity: Briefly describe the activity, outcomes, and your assessment of student's research potential.

(c) Post- 698/699 Level Activity: Briefly describe the activity, outcomes, and your assessment of student's research potential.

4. Summary Recommendation (circle one): Excellent / Very Good / Good / Fair / Poor

## **CSEE PhD Comprehensive Portfolio** CMPE/ENEE Programs

## Research Activity Report (RAR): Student Research Statement

Student Name Student ID

Research Advisor

The purpose of the Comprehensive Portfolio is to determine whether PhD students, who are within the first two years of their program, have the potential to perform PhD quality research. This potential is demonstrated by the student's performance in preliminary research activity, as well as in graduate coursework. The student should answer these self-evaluation questions with this purpose in mind. Submit your answers on your own paper, along with this signed form.

### A. Research Activities

- (1) Describe all *previous* research-related activities in which you have participated at UMBC and elsewhere.
- (2) What concrete results were produced by these activities, e.g., algorithms, hardware, test results, technical reports, scholarly papers, conference presentations/posters/papers, journal papers, etc.? (List and provide copies of related reports, papers, etc.)
- (3) What precisely was *your specific* contribution to the research and results (as opposed to the contributions of your advisor and other co-workers/co-authors)?
- (4) What are your current research activities and goals (provide details as in questions above)?

### **B.** PhD Dissertation Area

- (5) Have you identified your PhD dissertation area; if so, what is it? What have you accomplished with respect to identifying an area?
- (6) Have you identified your PhD dissertation topic; if so, what is it? What have you accomplished with respect to identifying a topic?

### C. Next Academic-Year Plans

- (7) What are your plans for identifying a dissertation area and topic, if you have not already done so?
- (8) What are your research goals for next academic year?
- (9) Are there research-skills areas in which you need to improve; if so, describe them and your plans to achieve the improvements?

#### Student Signature