Chapter One

Preliminaries, including

- -Why study PL concepts?
- -Programming domains
- -PL evaluation criteria
- -What influences PL design?
- -Tradeoffs faced by programming languages

Programming Domains

- –Implementation methods
- -Programming environments

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

Why study Programming Language Concepts?

- Increased capacity to express programming concepts
- Improved background for choosing appropriate languages
- Increased ability to learn new languages
- Understanding the significance of implementation
- Increased ability to design new languages
- Overall advancement of computing

yright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

- Scientific applications
- Business applications
- Artificial intelligence
- Systems programming
- Scripting languages

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc

• Special purpose languages

Language Evaluation Criteria

- Readability
- Writability
- Reliability
- Cost
- Etc...

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman.

Evaluation Criteria: Readability	
How is it for one to read and understand programs	
written in the PL?	
Arguably the most important criterion! Factors effecting readability include: –Overall simplicity »Too many features is bad as is a multiplicity of features	
–Orthogonality	
» Makes the language easy to learn and read	
» Meaning is context independent	
-Control statements	
– Data type and structures	
-Syntax considerations	
Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.	5

Evaluation Criteria: Writability

How easy is it to write programs in the language? Factors effecting writability: -Simplicity and orthogonality -Support for abstraction -Expressivity -Fit for the domain and problem

Evaluation Criteria: Reliability

Factors:

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

- Type checking
- Exception handling
- Aliasing
- Readability and writability

Evaluation Criteria: Cost

Categories:

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

- -Programmer training
- -Software creation
- -Compilation
- -Execution
- -Compiler cost
- -Poor reliability
- -Maintenance

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

Evaluation Criteria: others

Portability Generality Well-definedness Etc...

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc

Language Design Influences:

Programming methodologies

- *50s and early 60s:* Simple applications; worry about machine efficiency
- *Late 60s:* People efficiency became important; readability, better control structures. maintainability
- Late 70s: Data abstraction
- Middle 80s: Object-oriented programming
- 95-today: distributed programs, the web

Language Design Influences Computer architecture

- We use imperative languages, at least in part, because we use von Neumann machines
 - John von Neuman is generally considered to be the inventor of the "stored program" machines the class to which most of today's computers belong.
 - CPU+memory which contains both program and data

10

- Focus on moving data and program instructions between registers in CPU to memory locations

opyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

Language Categories

The big four:

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, In

Imperative or procedural (e.g., Fortran, C) Functional (e.g., Lisp, ML) Rule based (e.g. Prolog) Object-oriented (e.g. Smalltalk, Java) Others: Scripting (e.g., Perl, Tcl/Tk)

Constraint (e.g., Eclipse)

opyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

Language Design Trade-offs

Reliability versus cost of execution Ada, unlike C, checks all array indices to ensure proper range. Writability versus readability (2 = 0 + .= T o./T) / T <- iN is an APL one liner that produces a list of the prime numbers from 1 to N inclusive. Flexibility versus safety C, unlike Java, allows one to do arithmetic on pointers.

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

Implementation issues

- Complexity of compiler/interpreter
- Speed of translation
- Speed of execution
- Portability of translated code
- Compactness of translated code

hybrid

• Debugging ease

compile

interpret

Copyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

Implementation methods

- Direct execution by hardware - E.g., machine language
- Compilation to another language e.g., C
- Interpretation - Direct execution by software
 - E.g., csh, Lisp (traditionally)
- Hybrid
 - Compilation to another language (aka bytecode) which is then interpreted

14

– e.g., Java, Perl

opyright © 1998 by Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.



