## 7

## Lexical Analysis \& Finite Automata

## RE and Finite State Automaton (FA)

- Regular expressions are a declarative way to describe the tokens - Describes what is a token, but not how to recognize the token
- FAs are used to describe how the token is recognized - FAs are easy to simulate in a programs
- There is a 1-1 correspondence between FAs \& regular expressions - A scanner generator (e.g., lex) bridges the gap between regular expressions and FAs.



## Simple examples of FA




$\xrightarrow{\text { start }} \AA^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$

## Finite Automata (FA)

- FA also called Finite State Machine (FSM)
- Abstract model of a computing entity.
- Decides whether to accept or reject a string.
- Every regular expression can be represented as a FA and vice versa
- Two types of FAs:
- Non-deterministic (NFA): Has more than one alternative action for the same input symbol.
- Deterministic (DFA): Has at most one action for a given input symbol.
- Example: how do we write a program to recognize the Java keyword "int"?



## Transition Diagram

- FA can be represented using transition diagram.
- Corresponding to FA definition, a transition diagram has:
- States represented by circles;
- An Alphabet ( $\Sigma$ ) represented by labels on edges;
- Transitions represented by labeled directed edges between states. The label is the input symbol;
- One Start State shown as having an arrow head;
- One or more Final State(s) represented by double circles.
- Example transition diagram to recognize (a|b)*abb



## Procedures of defining a DFA/NFA

- Defining input alphabet and initial state
- Draw the transition diagram
- Check
- Do all states have out-going arcs labeled with all the input symbols (DFA)
- Any missing final states?
- Any duplicate states?
- Can all strings in the language can be accepted?

Are any strings not in the language accepted?

- Naming all the states
- Defining (S, $\left.\Sigma, \delta, \mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{~F}\right)$


## Example of constructing a FA

- Construct a DFA that accepts a language L over the alphabet $\{0,1\}$ such that $L$ is the set of all strings with any number of " 0 "s followed by any number of " 1 "s.
- Regular expression: $0^{*} 1^{*}$
- $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$
- Draw initial state of the transition diagram



## Example of constructing a FA

- Is " 00 " accepted?
- The leftmost two states are also final states
- First state from the left: $\varepsilon$ is also accepted
- Second state from the left:
strings with " 0 "s only are also accepted



## Example of constructing a FA

- Draft the transition diagram

- Is "111" accepted?
- The leftmost state has missed an arc with input " 1 "



## Example of constructing a FA

- The leftmost two states are duplicate
- their arcs point to the same states with the same symbols

- Check that they are correct
- All strings in the language can be accepted
$» \varepsilon$, the empty string, is accepted
» strings with " 0 "s / " 1 "s only are accepted
- No strings not in language are accepted
- Naming all the states



## How does a FA work

- NFA definition for (a|b)*abb
$S=\left\{q 0, q^{1}, q^{2}, q 3\right\}$
- $\Sigma=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$

- Transitions: $\operatorname{move}(q 0, a)=\{q 0, q 1\}, \operatorname{move}(q 0, b)=\{q 0\}, \ldots$.
$\mathrm{s} 0=\mathrm{q} 0$
F $=\left\{q^{2} 3\right\}$
- Transition diagram representation
- Non-determinism:
» exiting from one state there are multiple edges labeled with same symbol, or » There are epsilon edges.
- How does FA work? Input: ababb

| $\operatorname{move}(0, a)=1$ | move $(0, a)=0$ <br> move(o, $)=0$ <br> move $(0, a)=1$ <br> move $(1, b)=2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| move $(1, b)=2$ |  |
| move $(2, a)=?($ undefined $)$ | ACCEPT ! |

FA for $(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathrm{b}) * \mathbf{a b b}$


- What does it mean that a string is accepted by a FA?

An FA accepts an input string $x$ iff there is a path from start to a final state, such that the edge labels along this path spell out $x$;

- A path for "aabb": $\mathrm{Q} 0 \rightarrow^{a} \mathrm{q} 0 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{q} 1 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{q} 2 \rightarrow^{b} \mathrm{q} 3$
- Is "aab" acceptable?

$$
\mathrm{Q} 0 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{q} 0 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{q}^{1} \rightarrow^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{q}^{2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q} 0 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{q} 0 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{q} 0 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{q} 0
$$

»Final state must be reached;
»In general, there could be several paths.

- Is "aabbb" acceptable?

$$
\mathrm{Q} 0 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{q} 0 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{q} 1 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{q} 2 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{q} 3
$$

"Labels on the path must spell out the entire string.

## Transition table

- A transition table is a good way to implement a FSA
- One row for each state, S
- One column for each symbol, A
- Entry in cell (S,A) gives set of states can be reached from state S on input A
- A Nondeterministic Finite Automaton (NFA) has at least one cell with more than one state
- A Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA) has a singe state in every cell
(a|b)*abb


| STATES | INPUT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ |
| $>\mathbf{Q} 0$ | $\{\mathbf{q 0}, \mathrm{q} 1\}$ | q0 |
| $\mathbf{Q} 1$ |  | q2 |
| $\mathbf{Q} 2$ |  | q3 |
| ${ }^{*} \mathbf{Q} 3$ |  |  |

## DFA (Deterministic Finite Automaton)

- A special case of NFA where the transition function maps the pair (state, symbol) to one state.

When represented by transition diagram, for each state $S$ and symbol $a$, there is at most one edge labeled $a$ leaving $S$;

- When represented by transition table, each entry in the table is a single state. - There are no $\varepsilon$-transitions
- Example: DFA for (a|b)*abb

- Recall the NFA:


## DFA to program

- NFA is more concise, but not as easy to implement;
- In DFA, since transition tables don't have any alternative options, DFAs are easily simulated via an algorithm.
- Every NFA can be converted to an equivalent DFA

What does equivalent mean?

- There are general algorithms that can take a DFA and produce a "minimal" DFA.

Minimal in what sense?

- There are programs that take a regular expression and produce a program based on a minimal DFA to recognize strings defined by the RE.
- You can find out more in 451 (automata theory) and/or 431 (Compiler design)



## Converting DFA to NFA

- When NFAs were first "invented" (Rabin/Scott, 1959), they were also proven to be convertible to an equivalent DFA (i.e., one that recognizes the same formal language)
- However, it isn't always pretty() (Bad NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA example here)

