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## Searching and Sorting

Topics $\qquad$

- Algorithms and Reusability
- Algorithmic Classes: Example 1--Search
- Algorithmic Classes: Example 2--Sorting $\qquad$

Reading

- Sections 6.6-6.8


## Common Problems/ Common Solutions

$\qquad$

- When writing most interesting programs, there is often a
$\qquad$ core algorithmic challenge
- Many different problem domains actually have similar underlying solutions
- Abstraction is the key to reuse
- E.g.: textual search $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ identifying genetic patterns $\qquad$
- Reuse has important benefits
- Saves work
- Increases reliability
- Donald Knuth's The Art of Computer Programming
- The "bible" of programming
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Common Problems/ Common Solutions (cont.)

$\qquad$

- There are some very common problems that we
$\qquad$ use computers to solve:
- Searching through a lot of records for a specific $\qquad$ record or set of records
- Placing records in order, which we call sorting
- There are numerous algorithms to perform searches and sorts.
- Knuth dedicates $800(!)$ pages to the subject: Vol. 3: Sorting and Searching
- We will briefly explore a few common ones.


## Searching and Sorting

## Topics

$\qquad$

- Algorithms and Reusability
- Algorithmic Classes: Example 1--Search
- Sequential Search on an Unordered File
- Sequential Search on an Ordered File
- Binary Search
- Algorithmic Classes: Example 2--Sorting


## Searching

$\qquad$

- A question you should always ask when selecting
$\qquad$ a search algorithm:
- "How fast does the search have to be?"
- In general, the faster the algorithm is, the more complex it is.
- Bottom line: you don't always need to use, nor should you use, the "fastest" algorithm.
- Let's explore two sample search algorithms, keeping speed in mind.
- Sequential (linear) search
- Binary search


## Sequential Search on an Unordered File

$\qquad$

- Basic algorithm:

Get the search criterion (the key)
Get the first record from the file
While ( (record != key) and (still more records) )
Get the next record
End_while

- When do we know that there wasn't a record in the file that matched the key?


## Sequential Search on an Ordered File

- Basic algorithm:

Get the search criterion (the key)
Get the first record from the file
While ( (record < key) and (still more records) )
Get the next record
End_while
If ( record = key )
Then success
Else there is no match in the file
End_else

- When do we know that there wasn't a record in the file that matched the key?


## Sequential Search of Unordered vs. Ordered List

- Let's do a comparison.
- If the order was ascending alphabetical on customer's last names, how would the search for John Adams on the unordered list compare with the search on the ordered list?
- Unordered list
- if John Adams was in the list?
- if John Adams was not in the list?
- Ordered list
- if John Adams was in the list?
- if John Adams was not in the list?


## Unordered vs Ordered (con't)

- How about George Washington?
- Unordered
- if George Washington was in the list?
- If George Washington was not in the list?
- Ordered
- if George Washington was in the list?
- If George Washington was not in the list?
- How about James Madison?


## Unordered vs. Ordered (con't)

- Observation: the search is faster on an ordered list only $\qquad$ when the item being searched for is not in the list.
- (But didn't we find "Adams" more quickly in ordered?...)
- Also, keep in mind that the list has to first be placed in order for the ordered search.
- Conclusion: the efficiency of these algorithms is roughly the same.
- So, if we need a faster search, we need a completely different algorithm.
- How else could we search an ordered file?


## Binary Search

- If we have an ordered list and we know how
$\qquad$ many things are in the list (i.e., number of records in a file), we can use a different strategy.
- The binary search gets its name because the algorithm continually divides the list into two parts. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

How a Binary Search Works


## How Fast is a Binary Search?

- Worst case: 11 items in the list took 4 tries
- How about the worst case for a list with 32 items ?
- 1st try - list has 16 items
- 2nd try - list has 8 items
- 3rd try - list has 4 items
- 4th try - list has 2 items
- 5th try - list has 1 item
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| How Fast is a Binary Search? (con't) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| List has 250 items | List has 512 items |  |
| 1st try - 125 items | 1st try - 256 items |  |
| 2nd try - 63 items | 2nd try - 128 items |  |
| 3 rd try - 32 items | 3rd try - 64 items |  |
| 4th try - 16 items | 4th try - 32 items |  |
| 5th try - 8 items | 5th try - 16 items |  |
| 6th try - 4 items | 6th try - 8 items |  |
| 7th try - 2 items | 7th try - 4 items |  |
| 8th try - 1 item | 8th try - 2 items |  |
|  | 9th try - 1 item |  |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## What's the Pattern?

- List of 11 took 4 tries
- List of 32 took 5 tries
- List of 250 took 8 tries
- List of 512 took 9 tries
- $32=2^{5}$ and $512=2^{9}$
- $8<11<16 \quad 2^{3}<11<2^{4}$
- $128<250<256 \quad 2^{7}<250<2^{8}$


## A Very Fast Algorithm!

- How long (worst case) will it take to find an item in a list 30,000 items long?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2^{10}=1024 & 2^{13}=8192 \\
2^{11}=2048 & 2^{14}=16384 \\
2^{12}=4096 & 2^{15}=32768
\end{array}
$$

- So, it will take only 15 tries!


## Lg $n$ Efficiency

- We say that the binary search algorithm runs
$\qquad$ in $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{n}$ time. (Also written as $\lg \mathbf{n}$ )
- Lg n means the log to the base 2 of some value of $n$.
- $8=2^{3} \quad \lg 8=3 \quad 16=2^{4} \quad \lg 16=4$
- There are no algorithms that run faster than Ig n time.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Sorting--Motivation

$\qquad$

- So, the binary search is a very fast search algorithm.
- But, the list has to be sorted before we can search it with binary search.
- To be really efficient, we also need a fast sort algorithm.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Searching and Sorting

## Topics

$\qquad$

- Algorithms and Reusability
- Algorithmic Classes: Example 1--Search
- Algorithmic Classes: Example 2--Sorting $\qquad$
- Bubble Sort
- Insertion Sort


## Common Sort Algorithms

| Bubble Sort | Heap Sort |
| :--- | :--- |
| Selection Sort | Merge Sort |
| Insertion Sort | Quick Sort |

- There are many known sorting algorithms. Bubble sort is the slowest, running in $\mathbf{n}^{2}$ time. Quick sort is the fastest, running in $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l g} \mathbf{n}$ time.
- As with searching, the faster the sorting algorithm, the more complex it tends to be.
- We will examine two sorting algorithms:
- Bubble sort
- Insertion sort

| Bubble Sort - Let's Do One! | :\%\%: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & \text { P } \\ & \text { G } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { T } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| - Sorting Demos |  |

```
Bubble Sort Code
void bubbleSort (int a[ ] , int size)
{
    int i, j, temp;
    for(i=0;i<size; i++) /* controls passes through the list */
    {
            for(j=0; j < size - 1; j++ )/* performs adjacent comparisons */
            {
                if (a[j]>a[j+1])/* determines if a swap should occur */
                            temp =a[j]; /* swap is performed */
                            a[j]=a[j+1];
                            a[ j+1 ] = temp;
                }
        }
    }
}
```


## Bubble Sort--Optimizations

- Can you think of quick-and-dirty tweaks to the code to:
- Trim the inner loop to fewer turns?
- Stop the outer loop early in opportune cases?


## Insertion Sort

$\qquad$

- Insertion sort is slower than quicksort, but not
$\qquad$ as slow as bubble sort, and it is easy to understand.
- Insertion sort works the same way as arranging your hand when playing cards.
- Out of the pile of unsorted cards that were dealt to you, you pick up a card and place it in your hand in the correct position relative to the cards you're already holding.


$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Insertion Sort (con't)

Look at next item - 6 . Compare to 1st - 5 . 6 is larger, so leave 5. Compare to next - 7 . 6 is smaller, so move 6 to temp, leaving an empty slot.
Move 7 into the empty slot, leaving position 2 open.

Move 6 to the open 2nd position.

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Merge Sort

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

- Concept is "divide and conquer"
- We first merge and order adjacent pairs of entries
- We then merge and order our ordered-pairs of doubles
- We then merge and order our ordered-quads
- Continue until we have only one pile
- How I sort exams by alphabetical order


## Quicksort

- Fastest general sort known (so far)
- Basic premise:
- Pick random item (usually middle slot)
- Rearrange list to move lower items to top, higher items to bottom
- Recurse (fancy CS term) on the upper and lower subsets
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ How to Pick an Algorithm?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
- Average-case and "worst-case performance
- There is rarely a "best" algorithm - just often "better ones"
- Will frequently start from some standard algorithm and (hopefully) improve
- Understanding the details of an algorithm's behavior is critical to success

