# Planning 1

## Chapter 11.1-11.3

Some material adopted from notes by Andreas Geyer-Schulz and Chuck Dyer

# Planning is the art and practice of thinking before acting

- Patrik Haslum



# **Classic Planning**

- Find **sequence of actions** to reach a **goal** in a discrete, deterministic, static, fully-observable environment
- State space search and logical reasoning could be used
- But classic planning developed custom representations & algorithms to do it more effectively
- The approach uses a knowledge base and reasoning about the state of the world and possible actions
- We'll look first at doing this in the simple **blocks world**



Goal State

# **Blocks world**



The <u>blocks world</u> is a "micro-world" with a **table**, a set of **blocks**, and a **robot hand** Some constraints for a simple model:

- Only one block can be on another block
- -Any number of blocks can be on the table
- -The hand can only hold one block

# **Blocks world**



Typical representation uses a logic notation to represent the state of the world: ontable(a) ontable(c) clear(a) clear(c) handempty

And possible actions with their preconditions and effects: Pickup Putdown Stack Unstack

# **Typical BW planning problem**



## **Typical BW planning problem**



# Planning problem

- Find sequence of actions to achieve goal state when executed from initial state given
  - set of possible primitive actions, including their preconditions and effects
  - initial state description
  - -goal state description
- Compute plan as a sequence of actions that, when executed in initial state, achieves goal state
- States specified as a KB , i.e. conjunction of conditions
  - -e.g., ontable(a) ∧ on(b, a)

# Planning vs. problem solving

- Problem solving methods solve similar problems
- Planning is more powerful and efficient because of the representations and methods used
- States, goals, and actions are decomposed into sets of sentences (usually in first-order logic)
- Search often proceeds through *plan space* rather than *state space* (though there are also state-space planners)
- Sub-goals can be planned independently, reducing the complexity of the planning problem

# **Typical simplifying assumptions**

- Atomic time: Each action is indivisible
- No concurrent actions: but actions need not be ordered w.r.t. each other in the plan
- **Deterministic actions**: action results completely determined no uncertainty in their effects
- Agent is the **sole cause** of change in the world
- Agent is **omniscient** with complete knowledge of the state of the world
- Closed world assumption: everything known to be true included in state description; anything not listed is false

**Real AI planning systems can relax many of these** 

# **Typical BW planning problem**



# **Typical BW planning problem**



# Another BW planning problem

В

Α

B

С

Simple approach:

 find a way to achieve each goal in order



Note: Goals in a different order!

## Another BW planning problem



#### Yet Another BW planning problem



Plan: unstack(c,b) putdown(c) unstack(b,a) putdown(b) pickup(a) stack(a,b) unstack(a,b) putdown(a) pickup(b) stack(b,c) pickup(a) stack(a,b)

Note: not very efficient!

# Major approaches

- Planning as search
- GPS / STRIPS
- Situation calculus
- Partial order planning
- Hierarchical decomposition (HTN planning)
- Forward planning with heuristics
- Planning with constraints (SATplan, Graphplan)
- Reactive planning

### **History: Shakey the robot**

# First general-purpose mobile robot to be able to reason about its own actions



<u>Shakey the Robot: 1st Robot</u> <u>to Embody Artificial Intelli-</u> <u>gence (</u>2017, 6 min.)



Shakey: Experiments in Robot Planning and Learning (1972, 24 min)

#### **Strips planning representation**

- Classic approach first used in the <u>STRIPS</u> (Stanford Research Institute Problem Solver) planner
- A State is a conjunction of ground literals at(Home) ∧ ¬have(Milk) ∧ ¬have(bananas) ...
- Goals are conjunctions of literals, but may have variables, assumed to be existentially quantified at(?x) ^ have(Milk) ^ have(bananas) ...



Shakey the robot

- Need not fully specify state
  - Non-specified conditions either don't-care or assumed false
  - Represent many cases in small storage
  - May only represent changes in state rather than entire situation
- Unlike theorem prover, not seeking whether goal is true, but is there a sequence of actions to attain it

## **Blocks World Operators**

- Classic basic operations for the Blocks World
  - -stack(X,Y): put block X on block Y
  - -unstack(X,Y): remove block X from block Y
  - -pickup(X): pickup block X
  - -putdown(X): put block X on the table
- Each represented by
  - -list of preconditions
  - -list of new facts to be added (add-effects)
  - -list of facts to be removed (delete-effects)
  - -optionally, set of (simple) variable constraints

#### **Blocks World Stack Action**

#### stack(X,Y):

- preconditions(stack(X,Y), [holding(X), clear(Y)])
- **deletes**(stack(X,Y), [holding(X), clear(Y)]).
- adds(stack(X,Y), [handempty, on(X,Y), clear(X)])
- **constraints**(stack(X,Y), [X≠Y, Y≠table, X≠table])

## **STRIPS** planning

- STRIPS maintains two additional data structures:
  - State List all currently true predicates
  - Goal Stack push down stack of goals to be solved, with current goal on top
- If current goal not satisfied by present state, find action that adds it and push action and its preconditions (subgoals) on stack
- When a current goal is satisfied, POP from stack
- When an action is on top stack, record its application on plan sequence and use its add and delete lists to update current state

# **Typical BW planning problem**



## Another BW planning problem



#### Yet Another BW planning problem



**Initial state:** clear(c) ontable(a) on(b,a) B on(c,b) Α handempty Goal: on(a,b) on(b,c) Α ontable(c) В С

Plan: unstack(c,b) putdown(c) unstack(b,a) putdown(b) pickup(b) stack(b,a) unstack(b,a) putdown(b) pickup(a) stack(a,b) unstack(a,b) putdown(a) pickup(b) stack(b,c) pickup(a) stack(a,b)

#### Yet Another BW planning problem



## **Goal interaction**

- Simple planning algorithms assume independent sub-goals
  Solve each separately and concatenate the solutions
- <u>Sussman Anomaly</u>: an example of goal interaction problem:
  - Solving on(A,B) first (via unstack(C,A),stack(A,B)) is undone when solving 2nd goal on(B,C) (via unstack(A,B), stack(B,C))
  - Solving on(B,C) first will be undone when solving on(A,B)
- Classic STRIPS couldn't handle this, although minor modifications can get it to do simple cases



