## syllogisms

## Prolog

Tiss Finjus
University of Maryland Baltimore County
" "Prolog" is all about programming in logic.

- Socrates is a man.
- All men are mortal.

Therefore, Socrates is mortal.
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## Facts, rules, and queries

$\perp$ Fact: Socrates is a man. man(socrates).
$\lrcorner$ Rule: All men are mortal. mortal $(X)$ :- man( $X$ ).
Query: Is Socrates mortal? mortal(socrates).
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## Running Prolog I

- Create your "database" (program) in any editor
- Save it as text only, with a -p ] extension
-Here's the complete "program":
man(socrates).
mortal(X) :- man(X).
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## Running Prolog IJ

[finin@linux3 prolog]\$ Is
mortal.pl
On gl.umberedu
[finin@linux3 prolog]\$ pl
Welcome to SWI-Prolog (Multi-threaded, Version 5.6.18)

- Prolog is completely interactive
$\lrcorner$ Begin by invoking the Prolog interpreter.
For help, use ?- help(Topic). or ?- apropos(Word).
sicstus
$\lrcorner$ Then load your program.
consult('mortal. pl')
?- consult('mortal.pl').
$\%$ mortal.pl compiled 0.00 sec, 692 bytes
Yes
?- mortal(socrates).
Then, ask your question at the prompt: mortal(socrates).

Yes
?- mortal( $X$ ).
$X=$ socrates

- Prolog responds:

Yes
?-
UIMIBC
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## Syntax I: Structures

$\lrcorner$ Example structures:
sunshine

- man(socrates)
- path(garden, south, sundial)
- <structures :::
<name> | <name> ( sarguments>)
- <arguments> ::=
sargument> | sargument>, sarguments>
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## Syntax II : Base Clauses

$\lrcorner$ Example base clauses:

- debug_on.
- loves(john, mary).
- loves(mary, bill).

〈base clause> ::= <structure>.
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## Syntax III: Nonbase Clauses

Example nonbase clauses:
$-\operatorname{mortal}(X)$ :- man( $X$ ).

- mortal $(x)$ :- woman $(X)$
- happy $(X)$ :- health $y(X)$, wealth $y(X)$, wise $(X)$.
- <nonbase clause> ::=
«structure> :- «structures>
- <structures> ::=
«structure> | «structures> , «structure>
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## Syntax IV: Predicates

$\Delta$ A predicate is a collection of clauses with
the same functor and arity.
loves(john, mary).
loves(mary, bill).
loves $(\operatorname{chuck}, X) \div$ female $(X)$, rich $(X)$.

- <predicate> ::=
«clause> | <predicate> <clause>
- 〈clause> ::=

〈base clause> | <nonbase clause>
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## Syntax V: Programs

$\lrcorner$ A program is a collection of predicates.

## Syntax V1: Assorted details

- Variables begin with a capital letter: $X$, Socrates, $\qquad$ result
$\lrcorner$ Atoms do not begin with a capital letter: $x$, socrates
$\lrcorner$ Other atoms must be enclosed in single quotes:
'Socrates'
' 'C:/My Documents/examples.pl'
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## Syntax V/I: Assorted details

$\lrcorner$ In a quoted atom, a single quote must be quoted or backslashed: "Can' 't, or wonl't?'
/ /* Comments are like this */

- Prolog allows some infix operators, such as :- (turnstile) and , (comma). These are syntactic sugar for the functors ':' 'and $\because$
- Example:
': $\quad(\operatorname{mortal}(x), \operatorname{man}(x))$.
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## Backtracking

loves $(\operatorname{chuck}, X)$; female $(X)$, rich $(X)$.
female(jane).
female(mary).
rich(mary).

- Suppose we ask: loves(chuck, $X$ ).
female $(X)$ = female(jane), $X=$ jane.
rich(jane) fails.
female $(x)$ = female(mary), $x=$ mary.
rich(mary) succeeds.
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## Additional answers

female(jane).
female(mary).
female(susan).
-? female $(X)$.

- $X$ = jane ;
- $X=$ mar $y$

Yes
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## Common problems

$\lrcorner$ Capitalization is extremely important!

- Capitalized symbols are variables!
$\lrcorner$ No space between a functor and its argument list:
man(socrates), not man (socrates).
Don't forget the period! (But you can put it on the next line.)


## Prolog Execution Model/ Prolog Debugger
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## Execution Model (conjunctions)

$\xrightarrow{\text { parcant (fompercijodinitn }}$
$\rightarrow$ parent(james, john).
parent(james, alan).
$\rightarrow$ parent(florence, john)
parent(florence, alan).
parent(alan, elizabeth)
parent(alan, emily).
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## Readings

Ioves(chuck, $X$ ) :- female $(X)$, rich $(X)$.
$\lrcorner$ Declarative reading: Chuck loves $X$ if $X$ is female and rich.
Approximate procedural reading: To find an $X$ that Chuck loves, first find a female $X$, then check that $X$ is rich.
Declarative readings are almost always preferred.
Iry to write Prolog predicates so that the procedural and natural declarative reading give the same answers.
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## Logic is Monotonic

$\lrcorner$ Classicall logic, anyway.

- Monotonic $\sim=$ never gets smaller

IIn logic, a thing is true or false.

- $3>2$ is true
$\lrcorner$ If something is true, it's true for all time
$3>2$ always was and always will be true
us_president('George W. Bush') ?
- loves(Tom Cruse', 'Katie Holms') ?
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## Non-Monotonic Logjc

$\lrcorner$ A non-monotonic logic is one in which a proposition's true value can change in time

- Learning a new fact may cause the number of true propositions to decrease.
$\lrcorner$ Prolog is non-monotonic for two reasons:
- You can assert and retract clauses
- Prolog uses "negation as faillure"
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## Assert and Retract

-Normally we assert and retract facts (i.e., base clauses)

- assert(loves(tom, nicole)).
-retract(loves(tom, nicole)).
a assert(loves(tom, katie)).
- retract(Ioves(tom, $X$ )).
uretractall(Ioves(tom, X)).
You can assert/retract any clause
-assert( $\operatorname{loves}(X, Y)$ :- spouse $(X, Y)$ ).
-Static vs. dynamic predicates
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## Negation as failure

$\Delta$ NOT is basic to logic
How can we prove that something is false?
$\lrcorner$ Pure prolog only supports positive proofs

- Handling negation is much more difficult
- Quickly leads to undecidability
- Yet...
?- man(tom).
No
$\lrcorner$ In Prolog, we often use our inability to prove $P$ to be a prove that $P$ is false.
This is the semantics databases assume UMIBC


## not is Prolog's NAF operator

- Birds can fly, except for penguins.
canFly $(X)$ :- $\operatorname{bird}(X)$, not(penguin $(X)$ ).
bird(eagle), bird(wren), bird(penguin), bird(emu).
Birds can fly unless we know them to be filightiess
$\operatorname{canFly}(X)$ :- $\operatorname{bird}(X)$, not( $\operatorname{cantFly}(X)$ ).
cantFly(penguin). cantFly(emu).
$\lrcorner$ What does this mean?
not(bird(X))
- The 'standard not operator is $1+$.
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## A Simple Prolog Model

I Imagine prolog as a system which has a database composed of two components:

- FACTS - statements about true relations which hold between particular objects in the world. For example:
parent(adam,able): adam is a parent of able
parent(eve,able): eve is a parent of able
male(adam): adam is male.
- RULES - statements about true relations which hold between objects in the world which contain generalizations, expressed through the use of variables. For example, the rule
father $(X, Y)$ :- parent $(X, Y)$, male( $X$ ).
might express:
for any $X$ and any $Y, X$ is the father of $Y$ if $X$ is a parent of $Y$ and $X$ is male.

UMIBC
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## Prolog Database

## Nomenclature and Syntax

A prolog rule is called a clause.
A clause has a head, a neck and a body:

## father $(X, Y)$ :- parent $(X, Y)$, male $(X)$ head neck body

the head is a rule's conclusion.
The body is a rule's premise or condition.
I note:

- read :- as IF
- read, as AND
- a . marks the end of input

The terms extensional and
Extensional vs, Intensional intensional are borrowed from the language philosophers use for epistemology.
is quant refers to whatever extends, i.e.
is quantifiable in space as well as in time".
referring is an antonym of extension, eferring to "that class of existence whic may be quantifiable in time but not in space.

- NOT inten ional with a " * ", which has to do with "will, volition, desire, plan, ..

For KBs and DBs we use
xtensional to refer to that which is
explicitly represented (e.g., a fact), and to refer to that which is epresented abstractly, e.g., by a rule of UMYBC


Epistemology is "a branch of philosophy that investigates the origin, nature, methods, and limits of knowledge
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Facts comprising the "extensional database"

Rules comprising the "intensional database"

| ?- [user]
| sibling $(X, Y)$
I father $(\mathrm{Pa}, X)$,
I father $(P a, Y)$
I mother(Ma, X),
I mother(Ma, Y),
I $\operatorname{not}(X=Y)$
Zuser consulted 152 bytes
0.0500008 sec
yes
| ?- sibling $(X, Y)$.
$\mathrm{X}=$ able
$Y=$ cain
$X=$ cain
$Y=$ able ;
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| ?- [user]
| female(eve)
| parent(adam,cain)
| parent(eve,cain).
| father $(X, Y)$ :
parent $(X, Y)$, male $(X)$.
| mother $(X, Y)$
parent $(X, Y)$, female $(X)$.
| ^Zuser consulted 356
bytes 0.0666673 sec yes
| ?- mother(Who, cain)
Who = eve
yes

## A Prolog Session

| ?- mother(eve, Who) Who = cain
yes
?- trace, mother(Who,cain)
(2) 1 Call: mother ( 0, cain) ?
(3) 2 Call: parent(_0,cain) ?
(3) 2 Exit: parent(adam, cain)
4) 2 Call: female(adam) ?
(4) 2 Fail: female(adam)
(3) 2 Back to: parent(_ 0,cain)
(3) 2 Exit: parent(eve,cain)
(5) 2 Call: female(eve)
(5) 2 Exit: female(eve)
(2) 1 Exit: mother(eve,cain) Who = eve
yes
yes
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## How to Satisfy a Goal

Here is an informal description of how Prolog satisfies a goal (like father (adam, $X$ )). Suppose the goal is G:

- if $G=P, Q$ then first satisfy $P$, carry any variable bindings forward to $Q$, and then satiety $Q$
- if $G=P ; Q$ then satisfy $P$. If that fails, then try to satisfy $Q$
- if $G=$ not $(P)$ then try to satisfy $P$. If this succeeds then fail and if it fails, then succeed
$\square$ if $G$ is a simple goal, then look for a fact in the $D B$ that unifies with G look for a rule whose conclusion unifies with $G$ and try to satisfy its body


## Note

$\lrcorner$ two basic conditions are true, which always succeeds, and fail, which always fails
$\perp$ A comma (, ) represents conjunction (i,e. and).
$\square$ A semi-colon represents disjunction (i,e, or), as in: grandParent $(X, Y)$ :- grandFather $(X, Y)$; grandMother $(X, Y)$.
$\Delta$ there is no real distinction between RULES and FACTS. A FACT is just a rule whose body is the trivial condition true. That is parent(adam, cain) and parent(adam, cain) - true are equivalent

Goals can usually be posed with any of several combination of variables and constants:

- parent(cain, able) - is Cain Able's parent?
- parent(cain, $X$ ) - Who is a child of Cain?
- parent( $X$, cain $)$ - Who is Cain a child of?

UMIBf parent $(X, Y)$ - What two people have a parent/child relationship?

## Prolog Terms

$\lrcorner$ The term is the basic data structure in Prolog.
$\lrcorner$ The term is to Prolog what the s-expression is to Lisp
$\lrcorner A$ term is either:

- a constant
john , 13, 3.1415, +, 'a constant'
- a variable

$$
x, \text { Var, }, \text {, foo }
$$

- a compound term
- part(arm,body)

د part(arm(john), body(john))
$\square$ The reader and printer support operators:
$--X$ is read as ${ }^{-}-(X)$.

- $5+2$ is read as ' + ' $(5,2)$



## Compound Terms

A compound term can be thought of as a relation between one or more terms:

## The Notion of Unification

$\lrcorner$ Unification is when two things "become
part_of(finger,hand)
one"
and is written as:

1. the relation name (principle functor) which must be a constant
An open parenthesis
The arguments - one or more terms separated by commas.
2. A closing parenthesis

The number of arguments of a UMIBC compound terms is called its arity.

Term arity
f 0
f(a) $\quad 1$
f(a,b) 2
$f(g(a), b) 2$

Unification is kind of like assignment

- Unification is kind of like equality in algebra
$\lrcorner$ Unification is mostly like pattern matching
- Example:
- loves(john, $X$ ) unifies with loves(john, mary)
$\square$ and in the process, $X$ gets unified with mary
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## Unification II

$\lrcorner$ Two different stiructures can be unified if their constituents can be unified.

- mother $($ mar $y, X)=$ mother $(Y$, father $(Z))$
$\lrcorner$ In Prolog, a variable can be unified with a structure containing that same variable.
$\lrcorner$ This is usually a Bad Idea.
$\lrcorner$ Unifying $X$ and $f(X)$ binds $X$ to a circular structure which Prolog can not print.
$-x=f(f(f) f(f)$
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## Explicit Unification

$\lrcorner$ The explicit unification operator is $=$
Unification is symmetric:
Cain = father(adam)
means the same as
father $($ adam $)=$ Cain

- Most unification happens implicitly, as a result of parameter transmission.
- E.g., Prolog trys to prove older $(X$, bob) by unifying it with the fact older(zeus,_).
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## Scope of Names

The scope of a variable is the single clause in which it appears.
The scope of the "anonymous" ("don't care") variable (eg or foo) is itself.

- $\operatorname{loves}(\ldots)=,\operatorname{loves}(j \operatorname{lohn}$, mary $)$

A variable that only occurs once in a clause is a useless sing/eton; replace it with an anonymous variable.

- Most Prolog interpreters will issue warnings if you have rules with singleton variables
- isFather $(X)$ :- male( $X$ ), parent $(X$, child $)$.

UIMIBC


## Clauses as Cases

A predicate consists of multiple clauses whose heads have the same principle functor and arity.
$\lrcorner$ Each clause represents a "case". grandfather $(X, Y)$ :- father $(X, Z)$, father $(Z, Y)$. grandfather $(X, Y)$ :- father $(X, Z)$, mother $(Z, Y)$. $\operatorname{abs}(X, Y):-X<0, Y$ is $-X$. $\operatorname{abs}(X, X):-X>=0$.
$\lrcorner$ Clauses with heads having different airty are unrelated.

- Like methods in OO languages

UMIBC
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## Orolering II

Try to handle more specific cases (those having more variables instantiated) first.
$\operatorname{buy}(x) ; \operatorname{good}(x)$. $\operatorname{buy}(x) ; \operatorname{cheap}(X)$. cheap('Java 2 Complete'). good(Thinking in Java').

What will buy( $X$ ) choose first?

## Ordering

Clauses are always tried in order

> dislikes(john, bill).
dislikes(john, $X$ ) :- rich(X).
dislikes( $X, Y$ ) :- loves $(X, Z)$, loves $(Z, Y)$.
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## Ordering III

Some "actions" cannot be undone by backtracking over them:

- write, nl, assert, retract, consult

Do tests before you do undoable actions:

- $\operatorname{take}(A)$ :-
at ( $A$, in hand),
write('Youl 're already holding it!!'), nl.


## Recursion

- Prolog makes avoiding infinite recursion the programmer's responsibility.
$\lrcorner$ But it always tries clauses in order and processes conditions in a clause from left to right.
$\lrcorner$ So, handle the base cases first, recur only with a simpler case, use right recursion.
ancestor(P1,P2) :- parent(P1,P2).
ancestor( $\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2$ ) :- parent( $\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{X})$, ancestor $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{P} 2)$.
- But not:
ancestor(P1,P2) :- parent(P1,P2).
ancestor(P1,P2) :- ancestor(P1,X), parent(X,P2).
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## Facts and Rules

$\lrcorner$ Designing a Prolog knowledge base usually starts with decidling which predicates will be provided as facts and which will be defined by rules.
parent(Adam, cain).
child $(X, Y)$ :- parent( $Y, X$ ).

- We don't have to worry about this in logic and in some logic programming languages:
parent $(X, Y) \Leftrightarrow$ child $(Y, X)$
Of course, it's common for a predicate to be defined using both facts and rules.
- Example: int(0). int(suc( $X$ )) :- int(X).

What's at issue is really avoiding non-terminating reasoning.
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## Choosing predicates

- Designing a set of predicates
(an ontology) requires knowledge of the domain and how the representation
 will be used.
$\lrcorner$ Example: representing an object's color.
- green(kermit)
- color(kermit, green)
- value(kermit,color, green)
- attribute(kermit, color, value, green)
$\lrcorner$ Which of these is best?
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## Issues in choosing predicates

olor(kermit green)
value(kermit,color,green)
attribute(kermit, color, value, gre
What queries can be asked?
Relation(john,mary)
Which can we use to answer:
-Is kermit green?
What color is Kermit?
do we know about Kermit?

How efficient is retrieval of facts and rules.

## Cut and Cut-fail

- The cut, !, is a commit point. It commits to:
- the clause in which it occurs (can't try another)
- everything up to that point in the clause
- Example:
- loves(chuck, $X$ ) :- female $(X)$, I, rich $(X)$.
- Chuck loves the first female in the database, but only if she is rich.
$\lrcorner$ Cut-fail, (!, fail), means give up now and don't even try for another solution
$\lrcorner$ More on this later
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## Arithmetic: Built-In is/ 2

$\lrcorner$ Arithmetic expressions aren't normally evaluated in Prolog.

- Built-In infix operatoris/ 2 evaluates it's $2^{\text {nd }}$ argument
and unifies the result with it's $1^{\text {st }}$ argument
| $?-X=5+2$
$x=5+2 ?$
? $X$ is $5+2$.
$\mathrm{X}=7$ ?
yes
Any variables in the right-hand side of is/2 must be instantiated when it is evaluated.
- More on this later
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There are no functions, only predicates are few control structures

- There are no assignment statements; the state of the program is what's in the database


## What you can't do

Prolog is programming in logic, therefore there

## Workarounds II

-There are few control structures in Prolog, BUT...
$\lrcorner$ You don't need IF because you can use multiple clauses with "tests" in them

- You seldom need loops because you have recursion
$\lrcorner$ You can, if necessary, construct a "fail loop"
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## Faill Loops

```
notice_objects_at(Place):
        at(X, Place),
        write('There is a '), write(X),
        write(' here.'), nl,
        fail.
notice_objects_at(_).
Use fail loops sparingly, if at all.
```
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## Workarounds II

-There are no functions, only predicates, BUГ...

- A call to a predicate can instantiate
variables: female( $X$ ) can either
- look for a value for $X$ that satisfies female $(X)$, or
- if $X$ already has a value, test whether
female $(X)$ can be proved true
$\lrcorner$ By convention, output variables come last
- Square( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N} 2)$ :- N 2 is $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~N}$.
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are a suoset of relations, so you can define
Functions are a subset of relations,
a function like factorial as a relation
factorial( $\mathrm{N}, 0$ ) :- N<1.
factorial( 1,1 ). factorial( $N, M$ ) :-
N2 is $\mathrm{N}-1$,
$M$ is $N^{*} M 2$.
The last argument to the relation is used for the value that the function returns

## Workarounds II

How would you define:
fib(n) $=$ fib $(\mathrm{n}-1)+$ fib $(\mathrm{n}-2)$ where fib( 0$)=0$ and fib(1) $=1$
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## Workarounds III

## - There are no assignment statements, BUT...

$\lrcorner$ the Prolog database keeps track of program state bump_count :-
retract (count $(X)$ ),
$Y$ is $X+1$,
assert(count $(y))$.
Don't get carried away and misuse this!
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## Lists in Prolog

$\lrcorner$ Prolog has a simple universal data structure, the term, out of which others are buillt.
$\lrcorner$ Prolog lists are important because

- They are useful in practice

They offer good examples of writing standard recursive predicates
They show how a little syntactic sugar helps
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## Linked Lists

$\lrcorner$ Prolog allows a special syntax for lists:

- [a,b,c] is a list of 3 elements
- [] is a special atom indicating a list with 0 elements

I Internally, Prolog lists are regular Prolog terms with the functor ". (so called "dotted pairs") $[a, b, c]=\because '(a, \quad \prime(b, \because(c,[])))$.

- The symbol | in a list indicates "rest of list", or the term that is a dotted pair's $2^{\text {nd }}$ argument. $[a, b, c]=[a \mid[b, c]]$.
$\lrcorner$ [Head|Tail] is a common expression for dividing a list into its 1st element (Head) and the rest of UMII the list (Tail).


## Example: list/ 1

\% list(?List) succeeds if its arg is a well formed list.
list([]).
list([_Head|Tail]):-
list(Tail).
Since Prolog is untyped, we don't have to know anything about Head except that it is a term.
The list can have terms of any type
[ 1, foo, $X$, [ sub, list], 3.14]
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## Example: member/2

\% member(?Element, ?List) is true iff Element is a
\% top-level member of the list List.
member(Element, [Element|_Tail]).
member(Element, [_Head|Tail]):- member(Element, Tail)

This is a standard recursive definition of member:
(1) If the list has some elements, is what we're looking for the first one?
(2) If the list has some elements, is what we're looking for in the rest of the list?
(3) The answer is no.
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## Member has several uses

\% member ( + , + ) checks
\% membership.
I ?- member(b,[a,b,c]).
yes
?- member $(x,[a, b, c])$
no
\% member(-,+) generates
\% member(-
| ?- member $(X,[a, b, c]$
$x=a$ ?
$x=a ?$
$x=b ?$
$X=b ?$
$X=c ?$
$x=c$ ?
no ?- member ( $X$
integer $(X)$
$x=1$ ?
$x=2 ?$
UMIBC
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```
% member(+,-) generates lists.
| ?- member(a,L)
L = [a]_A]?
L=[_A,a|_B] ?
L=[_A,_B,a|_C] ?
yes
% member(-,-) generates lists
| ?- member (X,L).
L = [X|_A] ?
L=[_A,X|_B] ?
L=[_A,B,X]_C] ?
yes
| ?-
```


## Using member to test list elements

$\lrcorner$ Does a list $L$ have a negative number in it? a member $(X, L)$, number $(N), N<0$.
$\lrcorner$ Are all of the elements of L numbers between 1 and 10?

- not( member ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{L}$ ) ,
not(number( $X$ ) ; $X<1$; $X>10$ ))
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## Example: delete/ 3

\% delete(+Element, +List, -NewList)
$\%$ delete/3 succeeds if NewList results from
\% removing one occurrence of Element from List.
delete(Element, [Element|Tail], Tail).
delete(Element, [Head|Tail], [Head|New Tail]):delete(Element, Tail, NewTail).
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## Example: appena/ 3

\% append(?List1, ?List2, ?List3)
\% append/3 succeeds if List3 contains all the
\% elements of List1, followed by all the elements
\% of List2.
append([], List2, List2).
append([Head|List1], List2, [Head|List3]):append(List1, List2, List3).
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## Append is amazing

```
% append( + ,+,+) checks
| ?- append([1,2],[a,b],[1,2,a,x]).
no
% append(+,+,-) concatenates
| ?- append([1,2],[a,b],L).
L = [1,2,a,b]?
yes
% append( +,-,+) removes prefix.
L
yes
    % append(-,-,+) generates all
    % ways to solit a list into a
    % prefix and suffix.
    | ?-append(X,Y,[1,2,a,b])
    X = [],
    Y=[1,2,a,b]?;
    X=[1],
    Y= [2,a,b] ?;
    X = [1,2],
    Y=[a,b] ?;
% append(-,+,+) removes suffix.
?- append(X,[a,b],[1,2,a,b]).
X=[1,2] ?
yes
    Y = [b] ? ;
    X=[1,2,a,b],
    Y=[] ? ;
UMIBC
no
```


## Example: sublist/ 3

\% sublist(?SubList, +List). Note: The $1^{\text {st }}$ append \% finds a beginning point for the sublist and the
$\% 2^{\text {nd }}$ append finds an end point
sublist(SubList, List):-
append(List1, List2, List), append(SubList, List3, List2).
\% example: sublist( $[3,4],[1,2,3,4,5,6])$
list1
sublist

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Example: sublist/ 3 (cont)

\% here's another way to write sublist/2
sublist1(SubList, List):-
append(List1, _List2, List), append(_List3, SubList, List1).
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## Examples "naive" reverse

\% nreverse(?List, ?ReversedList) is true iff the
\% result of reversing the top-level elements of
\% list List is equal to ReversedList.
nreverse([], []).
nreverse([Head|Tail], ReversedList):-
nreverse(Tail, ReversedTail),
append(ReversedTail, [Head], ReversedList).
$\Delta$ this is simple but inefficient

- It's not tail recursive
- Append is constantly copying and recopying lists
- it's a traditional benchmark for Prolog
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## Exampler efificient reverse/ 3

\% reverse(+List, -ReversedList) is a "tail recursive" \% version of reverse.
reverse(List, ReversedList) :-
reverse1(List, [], ReversedList).
reverse1([], ReversedList, ReversedList).
reverse1([Head|Tail], PartialList, ReversedList):reverse1(Tail, [Head|PartialList], ReversedList).
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Finding Paths
$\operatorname{adj}(1,2)$. $\operatorname{adj}(1,3)$
$\operatorname{adj}(2,3)$. $\operatorname{adj}(2,4)$.
$\operatorname{adj}(3,4)$. $\operatorname{adj}(5,6)$.
adjacent(N1,N2) :- $\operatorname{adj}(N 1, N 2)$. adjacent(N1,N2) :- $\operatorname{adj}(\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N} 1)$.
connected(From, To) go(From, To, [From]).
go(From,To,Passed)
adjacent(From,To),
not(member(To,Passed))
o(From, To, Passed) :
adjacent(From,Next),
not(member(Next,Passed)),
go(Next, To, [Next| Passed]).
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