# Introduction to <br> Neural Networks Computing 

## CMSC491N/691N, Spring 2001

## Notations

units: $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}, \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$
activation/output: $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}, \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$
if $\boldsymbol{X}_{i}$ is an input unit, $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=$ input signal
for other units $\boldsymbol{Y}_{j}, \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{j}}=\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{-} \boldsymbol{i n}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)$
where $\mathrm{f}($.$) is the activation function for Y_{j}$
weights: $\boldsymbol{w}_{i j}$
from unit $i$ to unit $j$ (other books use $\boldsymbol{w}_{j i}$ )


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { bias: } \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \quad \text { ( a constant input) } \\
\text { threshold: } \boldsymbol{\theta}_{j} \\
\text { (for units with step/threshold } \\
\\
\text { activation function) } \\
\text { weight matrix: } \mathrm{W}=\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{i j}\right\} \\
\text { i: row index; j: column index }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$



1

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 5 & 2 \\
3 & 0 & 4 \\
1 & 6 & -1
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{ll}
\left(w_{1 \bullet}\right) & \text { row vectors } \\
\left(w_{2 \bullet}\right)
\end{array} \\
\left(w_{3 \bullet}\right)
\end{array}
$$

vectors of weights:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{w}_{\bullet j}=\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{1 j,} \boldsymbol{w}_{2 j}, \cdots \boldsymbol{w}_{3 j}\right) & \text { weights come into unit } \mathrm{j} \\
\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{i} \bullet}=\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{i} 1,} \boldsymbol{w}_{i 2,} \cdots \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{i} 3}\right) & \text { weights go out of unit } \mathrm{i}
\end{array}
$$


$\alpha$ specifies the scale of $\Delta \boldsymbol{w}_{i j}$, usually small

## Review of Matrix Operations

Vector: a sequence of elements (the order is important) e.g., $x=(2,1)$ denotes a vector
length $=\operatorname{sqrt}(2 * 2+1 * 1)$
orientation angle $=\mathrm{a}$

$x=(x 1, x 2, \ldots \ldots, x n)$, an $n$ dimensional vector a point on an $n$ dimensional space
column vector:

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
5 \\
8
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 5 & 8
\end{array}\right)=x^{T} \\
& \left(x^{T}\right)^{T}=x
\end{aligned}
$$

norms of a vector: (magnitude)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{1} \text { norm } & \|x\|_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right| \\
L_{2} \text { norm } & \|x\|_{2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
L_{\infty} \text { norm } & \|x\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}\right|
\end{array}
$$

vector operations:
$\boldsymbol{r x}=\left(\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \ldots \ldots . . \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)^{T} \quad \boldsymbol{r}$ : a scaler, $\boldsymbol{x}$ : a column vector
inner (dot) product
$\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ are column vectors of same dimension $\boldsymbol{n}$

$$
x^{T} \bullet y=\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots \ldots x_{n}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \ldots y_{n}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right)=y^{T} \bullet x
$$

## Cross product: $x \times y$

defines another vector orthogonal to the plan formed by x and y .
$\boldsymbol{A}_{m \times n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots \ldots & a_{1 n} \\ a_{m 1} & a_{m} & & \\ a_{m} & \ldots & a_{m n}\end{array}\right)=\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{i_{j}}\right\}_{m \times n}$
$\boldsymbol{a}_{i j}$ : the element on the ith row and jth column
$\boldsymbol{a}_{i i}$ : a diagonal element
$\boldsymbol{w}_{i j}$ : a weight in a weight matrix W
each row or column is a vector $\boldsymbol{a}_{\cdot j}$ : jth column vector $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}$. : ith row vector

$$
A_{m \times n}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{\cdot 1} & \ldots \ldots & a_{\cdot n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{m} \cdot
\end{array}\right)
$$

a column vector of dimension $m$ is a matrix of $m \times 1$
transpose: $\quad \boldsymbol{A}_{m \times n}{ }^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}\boldsymbol{a}_{11} & \boldsymbol{a}_{21} & \ldots . . & \boldsymbol{a}_{m 1} \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{1 n} & \boldsymbol{a}_{2 n} & \ldots . . & \boldsymbol{a}_{m n}\end{array}\right)$
jth column becomes jth row
square matrix: $\boldsymbol{A}_{n \times n}$
identity matrix:

$$
I=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & \ldots . . \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad a_{i_{j}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=j \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

symmetric matrix: $\boldsymbol{m}=\boldsymbol{n}$

$$
A=A^{T}, \text { or } \forall i a_{\bullet i}=a_{i \bullet}, \text { or } \forall i j a_{i j}=a_{j i}
$$

matrix operations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
r A=\left(r a_{\bullet 1}, \ldots \ldots r a_{\bullet n}\right)=\left(r a_{i_{j}}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{m} \times n} & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \ldots \ldots & \boldsymbol{x}_{m}
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\bullet 1}, \ldots \ldots \boldsymbol{a}_{\bullet n}\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

The result is a row vector, each element of which is an inner product of $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{T}}$ and a column vector $\boldsymbol{a}{ }_{\boldsymbol{j}}$
product of two matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{m \times n} & \times B_{n \times p}=C_{m \times p} \quad \text { where } C_{i j}=a_{i \bullet} \bullet b_{\bullet j} \\
A_{m \times n} \times I_{n \times n} & =A_{m \times n}
\end{aligned}
$$

vector outer product:

$$
x \cdot y^{T}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{i} \\
\vdots \\
x_{m}
\end{array} \left\lvert\,\left(y_{1} \ldots \ldots y_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{2}, \ldots . . x_{1} y_{n} \\
\vdots \\
x_{m} y_{1}, x_{m} y_{2}, \ldots \ldots x_{m} y_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right.\right.
$$

## Calculus and Differential Equations

- $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}(\mathrm{t})$, the derivative of $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, with respect to time $\boldsymbol{t}$
- System of differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}_{1}(t)=f_{1}(t) \\
\vdots \\
\dot{x}_{n}(t)=f_{n}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

solution: $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}(\boldsymbol{t}), \cdots \boldsymbol{x}_{n}(\boldsymbol{t})\right)$
difficult to solve unless $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{t})$ are simple

- Multi-variable calculus: $\boldsymbol{y}(t)=f\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots \ldots x_{n}(t)\right)$ partial derivative: gives the direction and speed of change of $y$, with respect to $x_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{y}=\sin \left(x_{1}\right)+x_{2}^{2}+e^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)} \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{1}}=\cos \left(x_{1}\right)-e^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)} \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{2}}=2 x_{2}-e^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)} \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{3}}=-e^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

the total derivative: $y(t)=f\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots \ldots x_{n}(t)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}(t) & =\frac{d f}{d t}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \dot{x}_{1}(t)+\ldots \ldots \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} \dot{x}_{n}(t) \\
& =\nabla f \bullet\left(\dot{x}_{1}(t) \ldots \ldots \dot{x}_{n}(t)\right)^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Gradient of $f: \nabla f=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots \ldots . \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right)$
Chain-rule: $\boldsymbol{y}$ is a function of $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is a function of $\boldsymbol{t}$
dynamic system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}_{1}(t)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots . . x_{n}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\dot{x}_{n}(t)=f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots \ldots x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

- change of $x_{i}$ may potentially affect other x
- all $x_{i}$ continue to change (the system evolves)
- reaches equilibrium when $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}=0 \forall \boldsymbol{i}$
- stability/attraction: special equilibrium point (minimal energy state)
- pattern of $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots \ldots \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$ at a stable state often represents a solution


# Chapter 2: Simple Neural Networks for Pattern Classification 

- General discussion
- Linear separability
- Hebb nets
- Perceptron
- Adaline


## General discussion

- Pattern recognition
- Patterns: images, personal records, driving habits, etc.
- Represented as a vector of features (encoded as integers or real numbers in NN)
- Pattern classification:
- Classify a pattern to one of the given classes
- Form pattern classes
- Pattern associative recall
- Using a pattern to recall a related pattern
- Pattern completion: using a partial pattern to recall the whole pattern
- Pattern recovery: deals with noise, distortion, missing information
- General architecture Single layer

net input to $Y$ : net $=b+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} w_{i}$
bias $\boldsymbol{b}$ is treated as the weight from a special unit with constant output 1.
threshold $\theta$ related to $Y$
output $y=f($ net $)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n e t \geq \theta \\ -1 & \text { if } n e t<\theta\end{cases}$
classify $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots \ldots \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$ into one of the two classes
- Decision region/boundary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{~b}!=0, \theta=0 \\
& \boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}+\boldsymbol{x}_{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{2}=0 \text { or } \\
& \boldsymbol{x}_{2}=-\frac{\boldsymbol{w}_{1}}{\boldsymbol{w}_{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}-\frac{\boldsymbol{b}}{\boldsymbol{w}_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$


is a line, called decision boundary, which partitions the plane into two decision regions
If a point/pattern $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)$ is in the positive region, then $\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}+\boldsymbol{x}_{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{2} \geq 0$, and the output is one (belongs to class one)

Otherwise, $\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}+\boldsymbol{x}_{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{2}<0$, output -1 (belongs to class two)
$\mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{~b}=0, \theta!=0$ would result a similar partition

- If $\mathrm{n}=3$ (three input units), then the decision boundary is a two dimensional plane in a three dimensional space
- In general, a decision boundary $b+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}=0$ is a n -1 dimensional hyper-plane in an n dimensional space, which partition the space into two decision regions
- This simple network thus can classify a given pattern into one of the two classes, provided one of these two classes is entirely in one decision region (one side of the decision boundary) and the other class is in another region.
- The decision boundary is determined completely by the weights $\boldsymbol{W}$ and the bias $\boldsymbol{b}$ (or threshold $\theta$ ).


## Linear Separability Problem

- If two classes of patterns can be separated by a decision boundary, represented by the linear equation

$$
b+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} w_{i}=0
$$

then they are said to be linearly separable. The simple network can correctly classify any patterns.

- Decision boundary (i.e., $\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{b}$ or $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ) of linearly separable classes can be determined either by some learning procedures or by solving linear equation systems based on representative patterns of each classes
- If such a decision boundary does not exist, then the two classes are said to be linearly inseparable.
- Linearly inseparable problems cannot be solved by the simple network, more sophisticated architecture is needed.
- Examples of linearly separable classes
- Logical AND function
patterns (bipolar) decision boundary


| x 1 | x 2 | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

$\mathrm{w} 1=1$
$\mathrm{w} 2=1$
$\mathrm{b}=-1$
$\theta=0$
$-1+\mathrm{x} 1+\mathrm{x} 2=0$
$x$ : class I $(y=1)$
o: class II ( $\mathrm{y}=-1$ )

- Logical OR function
patterns (bipolar) decision boundary

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{x} 1 & \mathrm{x} 2 & \mathrm{y} & \mathrm{w} 1=1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & \mathrm{w} 2=1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & \mathrm{~b}=1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & \theta=0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1+\mathrm{x} 1+\mathrm{x} 2=0
\end{array}
$$


$x$ : class $I(y=1)$ o: class II $(\mathrm{y}=-1)$

- Examples of linearly inseparable classes
- Logical XOR (exclusive OR) function patterns (bipolar) decision boundary

| x 1 | x 2 | y |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | -1 |


$\mathrm{x}: \operatorname{class} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{y}=1)$
o : class II $(\mathrm{y}=-1)$

No line can separate these two classes, as can be seen from the fact that the following linear inequality system has no solution

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{w}_{1}-\boldsymbol{w}_{2}<0 & \text { (1) } & \text { because we have } b<0 \text { from } \\
\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{w}_{1}+\boldsymbol{w}_{2} \geq 0 & \text { (2) } & \text { (1) }+(4), \text { and } b>=0 \text { from } \\
\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{w}_{1}-\boldsymbol{w}_{2} \geq 0 & \text { (3) } & \text { (2)+(3), which is a } \\
\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{w}_{1}+\boldsymbol{w}_{2}<0 & \text { (4) } & \text { contradiction }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- XOR can be solved by a more complex network with hidden units

$$
\theta=1
$$



| $(-1,-1)$ | $(-1,-1)$ | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $(-1,1)$ | $(-1,1)$ | 1 |
| $(1,-1)$ | $(1,-1)$ | 1 |
| $(1,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | -1 |

## Hebb Nets

- Hebb, in his influential book The organization of Behavior (1949), claimed
- Behavior changes are primarily due to the changes of synaptic strengths ( $\boldsymbol{w}_{i j}$ ) between neurons I and j
- $\boldsymbol{w}_{i j}$ increases only when both I and $j$ are "on": the Hebbian learning law
- In ANN, Hebbian law can be stated: $\boldsymbol{w}_{i j}$ increases only if the outputs of both units $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ have the same sign.
- In our simple network (one output and n input units)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta w_{i j}=w_{i j}(\text { new })-w_{i j}(\text { old })=x_{i} y \\
\text { or, } \Delta w_{i j}=w_{i j}(\text { new })-w_{i j}(\text { old })=\alpha x_{i} y
\end{gathered}
$$

- Hebb net (supervised) learning algorithm (p.49)

Step 0. Initialization: $\mathrm{b}=0$, wi $=0, \mathrm{i}=1$ to n
Step 1. For each of the training sample s:t do steps $2-4$
/* s is the input pattern, t the target output of the sample */
Step 2. $\quad$ xi $:=\mathrm{si}, \mathrm{I}=1$ to $\mathrm{n} \quad / *$ set s to input units */
Step 3. $\mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{t} \quad / *$ set y to the target */
Step 4. wi $:=w i+x i * y, i=1$ to $n / *$ update weight */ $\mathrm{b}:=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{xi} * \mathrm{y} \quad / *$ update bias */

Notes: 1) $\alpha=1,2$ ) each training sample is used only once.

- Examples: AND function
- Binary units $(1,0)$

| ( $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2,1$ ) | $y=t$ | w 1 | w2 | b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,1,1)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | An incorrect boundary: |
| (1, 0, 1) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1+\mathrm{x} 1+\mathrm{x} 2=0$ |
| (0, 1, 1) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Is learned after using |
| (0, 0, 1) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | each sample once |

- Bipolar units (1,-1)

| $(\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2,1)$ | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{t}$ | w 1 | w 2 | b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,1,1)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | A correct boundary |
| $(1,-1,1)$ | -1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | $-1+\mathrm{x} 1+\mathrm{x} 2=0$ |
| $(-1,1,1)$ | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| $(-1,-1,1)$ | -1 | 2 | 2 | -2 | is successfully learned |

- It will fail to learn $\mathrm{x} 1^{\wedge} \mathrm{x} 2^{\wedge} \mathrm{x} 3$, even though the function is linearly separable.
- Stronger learning methods are needed.
- Error driven: for each sample s:t, compute y from s based on current W and b , then compare y and t
- Use training samples repeatedly, and each time only change weights slightly ( $\alpha \ll 1$ )
- Learning methods of Perceptron and Adaline are good examples


## Perceptrons

- By Rosenblatt (1962)
- For modeling visual perception (retina)
- Three layers of units: Sensory, Association, and Response
- Learning occurs only on weights from $\boldsymbol{A}$ units to $\boldsymbol{R}$ units (weights from $\boldsymbol{S}$ units to $\boldsymbol{A}$ units are fixed).
- A single $\boldsymbol{R}$ unit receives inputs from $\mathrm{n} \boldsymbol{A}$ units (same architecture as our simple network)
- For a given training sample s:t, change weights only if the computed output $y$ is different from the target output $t$ (thus error driven)
- Perceptron learning algorithm (p.62)

Step 0. Initialization: $b=0$, wi $=0, i=1$ to $n$
Step 1. While stop condition is false do steps 2-5
Step 2. For each of the training sample s:t do steps 3-5
Step 3. $\quad x i:=s i, i=1$ to $n$
Step $4 . \quad$ compute y
Step 5. If y $!=\mathrm{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { wi }:=\text { wi }+\alpha * x i * t, i=1 \text { to } n \\
& b:=b+\alpha * t
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notes:

- Learning occurs only when a sample has y != t
- Two loops, a completion of the inner loop (each sample is used once) is called an epoch


## Stop condition

- When no weight is changed in the current epoch, or
- When pre-determined number of epochs is reached

Informal justification: Consider $\mathrm{y}=1$ and $\mathrm{t}=-1$

- To move y toward $t$, w1should reduce net_y
- If $\mathrm{xi}=1, \mathrm{xi}^{*} \mathrm{t}<0$, need to reduce $\mathrm{w} 1\left(\mathrm{xi}^{*} \mathrm{w} 1\right.$ is reduced $)$
- If $\mathrm{xi}=-1$, $\mathrm{xi}{ }^{*} \mathrm{t}>0$ need to increase w 1 ( $\mathrm{xi}^{*} \mathrm{w} 1$ is reduced )

See book (pp. 62-68) for an example of execution

- Perceptron learning rule convergence theorem
- Informal: any problem that can be represented by a perceptron can be learned by the learning rule
- Theorem: If there is a $\boldsymbol{W}^{1}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{p}) \cdot \boldsymbol{W}^{1}\right)=\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{p})$ for all $\boldsymbol{P}$ training sample patterns $\{\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{p}), \boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{p})\}$, then for any start weight vector $W^{0}$, the perceptron learning rule will converge to a weight vector $W^{*}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{p}) \cdot \boldsymbol{W}^{*}\right)=\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{p})$ for all $\boldsymbol{p} .\left(\boldsymbol{W}^{*}\right.$ and $\boldsymbol{W}^{1}$ may not be the same.)
- Proof: reading for grad students (pp. 77-79


## Adaline

- By Widrow and Hoff (1960)
- Adaptive Linear Neuron for signal processing
- The same architecture of our simple network
- Learning method: delta rule (another way of error driven), also called Widrow-Hoff learning rule
- The delta: $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{-} \boldsymbol{y}$ _in
- NOT $\boldsymbol{t}-\boldsymbol{y}$ because $\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{-} \boldsymbol{i n}\right)$ is not differentiable
- Learning algorithm: same as Perceptron learning except in Step 5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b:=b+\alpha *\left(t-y_{-} i n\right) \\
& w i:=w i+\alpha * x i *\left(t-y_{-} i n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Derivation of the delta rule
- Error for all P samples: mean square error

$$
E=\frac{1}{P} \sum_{p=1}^{\boldsymbol{P}}\left(t(p)-y_{-} \operatorname{in}(p)\right)^{2}
$$

- $E$ is a function of $W=\{w 1, \ldots w n\}$
- Learning takes gradient descent approach to reduce E by modify W
- the gradient of $\mathrm{E}: \nabla \boldsymbol{E}=\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}_{1}}, \ldots \ldots . \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}_{n}}\right)$
- $\Delta \boldsymbol{w}_{i} \propto-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}_{i}}$
- $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{i}}=\left[\frac{2}{P} \sum_{p=1}^{P}\left(t(p)-y_{-} \operatorname{in}(p)\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}}\left(t(p)-y_{-} \operatorname{in}(p)\right.$

$$
=-\left[\frac{2}{P} \sum_{p=1}^{P}\left(t(p)-y_{-} \operatorname{in}(p)\right)\right] x_{i}
$$

- There for $\Delta w_{i} \propto-\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{i}}=\left[\frac{2}{P} \sum_{1}^{P}\left(t(p)-y_{-} \operatorname{in}(p)\right)\right] x_{i}$
- How to apply the delta rule
- Method 1 (sequential mode): change wi after each training pattern by $\alpha\left(\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{p})-\boldsymbol{y}_{-} \boldsymbol{i n}(\boldsymbol{p})\right) \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$
- Method 2 (batch mode): change wi at the end of each epoch. Within an epoch, cumulate $\alpha\left(\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{p})-\boldsymbol{y}_{-} \boldsymbol{i n}(\boldsymbol{p})\right) \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ for every pattern ( $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{p})$ )
- Method 2 is slower but may provide slightly better results (because Method 1 may be sensitive to the sample ordering)
- Notes:
- E monotonically decreases until the system reaches a state with (local) minimum E (a small change of any wi will cause E to increase).
- At a local minimum E state, $\partial \boldsymbol{E} / \partial \boldsymbol{w}_{i}=0 \forall \boldsymbol{i}$, but E is not guaranteed to be zero


## Summary of these simple networks

- Single layer nets have limited representation power (linear separability problem)
- Error drive seems a good way to train a net
- Multi-layer nets (or nets with non-linear hidden units) may overcome linear inseparability problem, learning methods for such nets are needed
- Threshold/step output functions hinders the effort to develop learning methods for multi-layered nets


## Why hidden units must be non-linear?

- Multi-layer net with linear hidden layers is equivalent to a single layer net

- Because z 1 and z 2 are linear unit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{z} 1=\mathrm{a} 1 *(\mathrm{x} 1 * \mathrm{v} 11+\mathrm{x} 2 * \mathrm{v} 21)+\mathrm{b} 1 \\
& \mathrm{z} 1=\mathrm{a} 2 *\left(\mathrm{x} 1 *_{\mathrm{v} 12+\mathrm{x} 2 * \mathrm{v} 22)+\mathrm{b} 2}\right. \\
&-\mathrm{y} \_\mathrm{in}=\mathrm{z} 1 * \mathrm{w} 1+\mathrm{z} 2 * \mathrm{w} 2 \\
&=\mathrm{x} 1 * \mathrm{u} 1+\mathrm{x} 2 * \mathrm{u} 2+\mathrm{b} 1+\mathrm{b} 2 \quad \text { where } \\
& \mathrm{u} 1\left.=\left(\mathrm{a} 1 *_{\mathrm{v}} 11+\mathrm{a} 2 *_{\mathrm{v} 1} 12\right) \mathrm{w} 1, \mathrm{u} 2=\left(\mathrm{a} 1 * \mathrm{v} 21+\mathrm{a} 2 *_{\mathrm{v}} 22\right)\right)_{\mathrm{w} 2} \\
& \mathrm{y} \text { _in is still a linear combination of } \mathrm{x} 1 \text { and } \mathrm{x} 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

