CMSC 304 — Paper Presentation Feedback

Evaluator's name: Presenter's name:						
	-					
Rating scale: 1-poor, 2-weak, 3-fair, 4-good, 5-excellent						
Content						
Clearly described the problem being studied	d 1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Articulated the relevant ethical questions	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Stated a clear position on the questions	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Justified the position using evidence	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Identified appropriate policies/decisions to o	consider 1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Discussed relevant principles and values	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Identified stakeholders and potential consequences		. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Discussed relevant laws	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Presentation was clear	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Presentation was interesting	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall evaluation of content		. 2	3	4	5	
Presentation Style						
Organized talk well	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Spoke clearly and with sufficient volume	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Maintained good flow (minimal hesitation/fu	mbling) 1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Visual aids were readable and useful	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Was well prepared	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Projected confidence	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall evaluation of presentation style	1	. 2	3	4	5	

Please use the back of the page for comments and suggestions.

Please use the back of the page for comments and suggestions.

CMSC 304 — Paper Presentation Feedback

Evaluator's name:	Presenter's n	ame:					
Rating scale: 1-poor, 2-weak, 3-fair, 4-good, 5-exc	ellent						
Content							
Clearly described the problem being s	studied	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Articulated the relevant ethical question	ons	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Stated a clear position on the question	ns	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Justified the position using evidence		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Identified appropriate policies/decision	ns to consider	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Discussed relevant principles and val	ues	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Identified stakeholders and potential consequences		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Discussed relevant laws	·	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Presentation was clear		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Presentation was interesting		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall evaluation of content		1	2	3	4	5	
Presentation Style							
Organized talk well		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Spoke clearly and with sufficient volume	me	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Maintained good flow (minimal hesitat		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Visual aids were readable and useful	3,	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Was well prepared		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Projected confidence		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall evaluation of presentation s	style	1	2	3	4	5	