

Fast Modular Exponentiation Hardware

Theory and Methods

Christopher Nguyen

University of Maryland, Baltimore County
Baltimore, MD 21250, USA

April 20, 2011

Problem and Motivation

Fast modular exponentiation is necessary.

- Most forms of public-key cryptography rely on modular exponentiation.
- Key lengths of public-key encryption systems are growing.
 - Yesterday: 1024-bit
 - Today: 2048-bit
 - Tomorrow: 4096-bit
- Modular exponentiation, the limiting operation, is slow using conventional number systems! Moreso, it is slower using residue number systems!!

Background

Residue Number System

Given a set of moduli m_1, \dots, m_n , it is possible to represent a number x as remainders, or *residues*, of the moduli.

Example: $x = 10$ with $m_i = \{2, 3, 5\}$

$$x \bmod m_1 = x \bmod 2 \equiv 0$$

$$x \bmod m_2 = x \bmod 3 \equiv 1$$

$$x \bmod m_3 = x \bmod 5 \equiv 0$$

x represented as a residue number over the moduli is $(0, 1, 0)$.

Background

Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$\text{Let } M = \prod_{i=0}^n m_i.$$

If the set of moduli for an RNS are pairwise relatively prime, then numbers in the range $[0, M]$ have a unique representation in the RNS formed by the moduli.

- The proof demonstrates existence, uniqueness, and *construction*
- This theorem is at the heart of all residue number systems

More Problems

Advantages to RNS:

- Addition, subtraction, and multiplication are inherently parallel.
- There is no problem with overflow.
- Taking advantage of consecutive parallel operations receive a huge performance boost (e.g. DSP).

Disadvantages to RNS:

- Other operations become harder: reconstruction, magnitude comparison, and division.
- Computation often requires two RNS systems to be useful.
- For general-purpose computing, conventional number system algorithms still beat RNS algorithms.

Current Methods

Base Extension

- Converts one RNS base to another
- Shenoy and Kumaresan's Fast Base Extension

Montgomery Multiplication

- Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_M$. Let $\hat{x} \equiv xR \pmod{M}$ for some special R .
 $\hat{c} \pmod{M} \equiv \hat{a}\hat{b}R^{-1} \pmod{M}$.
- Cost of "Montgomerification" is amortized over several modular multiplications.
- Main feature of many authors: Bajard and Kornerup, Bernal, Blum and Paar, and Fournaris.

New Theory and Methods

Phatak combines the following features:

- Joint integer and fractional representation
- Low precision approximation
- Precomputed look-up tables
- Small redundant modulus

These are used to increase efficiency of the hard operations:

- Reconstruction (base extension)
- Scaling (division by a constant)
- Magnitude comparison

Note: Magnitude comparison is not necessary for the modular exponentiation algorithm.

Road Ahead

Problem

Phatak has already proven his method and efficiency; it is fast from a theoretical stance. *But theoretically fast does not mean it is fast enough to become the standard implementation!*

Road Ahead

Solution

Implement the algorithms in hardware and demonstrate performance with hard data. But how do we do this?

- 1 Generate schematics using HDL code generation.
- 2 Prove correctness of the schematic using a statistically significant set of test cases.
- 3 Measure and report performance using standard metrics in the literature: execution time and chip area.

FPGAs are our target platform. They feature cost-efficiency and field-programmability. They are the current standard for hardware development.

Questions?