

Logical Inference 1 introduction

Chapter 9

Overview

- Model checking for propositional logic
- Rule based reasoning in first-order logic
 - Inference rules and generalized modes ponens
 - -Forward chaining
 - -Backward chaining
- Resolution-based reasoning in first-order logic
 - -Clausal form
 - Unification
 - -Resolution as search
- Inference wrap up

PL Model checking

- Given KB, does sentence S hold?
- Basically generate and test:
 - -Generate all the possible models
 - -Consider the models M in which KB is TRUE
 - $-If \forall M S$, then S is provably true
 - -If \forall M \neg S, then S is provably false
 - -Otherwise (\exists M1 S \land \exists M2 \neg S): S is **satisfiable** but neither provably true or provably false

From Satisfiability to Proof (1)

- To see if a satisfiable KB entails sentence S, see if $\overline{KB} \wedge \overline{-S}$ is satisfiable
 - −If it is not, then the KB entails S
 - −If it is, then the KB does not email S
 - -This is a refutation proof
- Consider the KB with $(P, P=>Q, \sim P=>R)$
 - −Does the KB it entail Q? R?

Efficient PL model checking (1)

<u>Davis-Putnam algorithm</u> (DPLL) is <u>generate-and-test</u> model checking with several optimizations:

- Early termination: short-circuiting of disjunction/ conjunction
- *Pure symbol heuristic*: symbols appearing only negated or unnegated must be FALSE/TRUE respectively e.g., in [(Av¬B), (¬Bv¬C), (CvA)] A & B are pure, C impure. Make pure
 - e.g., in [(Av¬B), (¬Bv¬C), (CvA)] A & B are pure, C impure. Make pure symbol literal true: if there's a model for S, making pure symbol true is also a model
- Unit clause heuristic: Symbols in a clause by itself can immediately be set to TRUE or FALSE

Using the AIMA Code

expr parses a string, and returns a logical expression

```
python> python
Python ...
                                         dpll satisfiable returns a
                                         model if satisfiable else False
>>> from logic import *
>>> expr('P & P==>Q & ~P==>R')
((P \& (P >> Q)) \& (\sim P >> R))
>>> dpll_satisfiable(expr('P & P==>Q & ~P==>R'))
{R: True, P: True, Q: True}
>>> dpll satisfiable(expr('P & P==>Q & \sim P==>R & \sim R'))
{R: False, P: True, Q: True}
>>> dpll satisfiable(expr('P & P==>Q & ~P==>R & ~Q'))
False
```

>>>

The KB entails Q but does not email R

Efficient PL model checking (2)

- WalkSAT is a local search for satisfiability: Pick a symbol to flip (toggle TRUE/FALSE), either using min-conflicts *or* choosing randomly
- ...or you can use *any* local or global search algorithm!
- There are many model checking algorithms and systems
 - -See for example, MiniSat
 - -<u>International SAT Competition</u> (2003, ... 2012)

```
>>> kb1 = PropKB()
>>> kbl.clauses
[]
>>> kb1.tell(expr('P==>Q & ~P==>R'))
>>> kb1.clauses
[(Q \mid \sim P), (R \mid P)]
>>> kb1.ask(expr('Q'))
False
>>> kb1.tell(expr('P'))
>>> kb1.clauses
[(Q \mid \sim P), (R \mid P), P]
>>> kb1.ask(expr('Q'))
{}
>>> kb1.retract(expr('P'))
>>> kb1.clauses
[(Q \mid \sim P), (R \mid P)]
>>> kb1.ask(expr('Q'))
False
```

AIMA KB Class

PropKB is a subclass

A sentence is converted to CNF and the clauses added

The KB does not entail Q

After adding P the KB does entail Q

Retracting P removes it and the KB no longer entails Q

Reminder: Inference rules for FOL

- Inference rules for propositional logic apply to FOL as well
 - Modus Ponens, And-Introduction, And-Elimination, ...
- New (sound) inference rules for use with quantifiers:
 - -Universal elimination
 - -Existential introduction
 - -Existential elimination
 - -Generalized Modus Ponens (GMP)